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Very small synthetic motors that make use of chemical reactions to propel themselves in solution hold promise for new applications in the development of new materials, science and medicine. The prospect of such potential applications, along with the fact that systems with many motors or active elements display interesting cooperative phenomena of fundamental interest, has made the study of synthetic motors an active research area. Janus motors, comprising catalytic and noncatalytic hemispheres, figure prominently in experimental and theoretical studies of these systems. While continuum models of Janus motor systems are often used to describe motor dynamics, microscopic models that are able to account for intermolecular interactions, many-body concentration gradients, fluid flows and thermal fluctuations provide a way to explore the dynamical behavior of these complex out-of-equilibrium systems that does not rely on approximations that are often made in continuum theories. The analysis of microscopic models from first principles provides a foundation from which the range of validity and limitations of approximate theories of the dynamics may be assessed. In this paper, a microscopic model for the diffusiophoretic propulsion of Janus motors, where motor interactions with the environment occur only through hard collisions, is constructed, analyzed and compared to theoretical predictions. Microscopic simulations of both single-motor and many-motor systems are carried out to illustrate the results.

1 Introduction

Synthetic chemically propelled motors of various shapes and sizes have been the object of a considerable amount of research.1–4 Interest in such self-propelled objects derives both from their potential uses, such as nanoscale cargo delivery vehicles, and because, like all active matter operating under nonequilibrium conditions, they display phenomena that differ from those in equilibrium systems. Although such motors may utilize chemical activity in different ways to produce directed motion, this paper concerns motors that operate by diffusiophoretic mechanisms. In self-diffusiophoresis, asymmetric catalytic activity on the motor produces a concentration gradient in chemical species which gives rise to a force that is responsible for directed motion. Of the possible motor geometries, perhaps the simplest is a spherical Janus motor where one hemisphere catalyzes the conversion of fuel to product while the other hemisphere is chemically inactive. Janus motors may be readily made in the laboratory, the macroscopic theory for their self-diffusiophoretic propulsion is well developed and the spherical symmetry of a single Janus motor simplifies the theoretical calculations. For these reasons they have been the subjects of extensive experimental and theoretical study.5–10

The collective dynamics seen in systems containing many motors depends on the interactions among motors as well as the propulsion properties of the individual motor constituents.11–22 These interactions among motors can arise from distinct origins, including direct intermolecular interactions as well as coupling through hydrodynamic flow fields and chemical gradients. Furthermore, small motors are subject to strong thermal fluctuations, which must also be included in any theoretical description of the dynamics. Continuum models supplemented with Langevin forces may be used to study the behavior of these systems; however, there is a need for microscopic theories for the dynamics of active systems for a number of reasons. Studies of chemically-powered motors are being extended to motors with very small spatial dimensions down to the scale of tens of nanometers or even Angstroms.23,24 On such small length scales the validity and applicability of continuum theories requires reexamination. In addition, by treating direct motor interactions from first principles, microscopic theories will automatically account for many-body hydrodynamic interactions and chemical gradients on both large and small scales. These desirable features are achieved at the cost of having to explicitly treat the dynamics of all constituents of the system,
namely the motors, the reactive chemical species and the solvent, at a particle-based level.

Microscopic models have been constructed previously and used to investigate chemically-powered motors. In contrast to these models, the microscopic model described in this paper involves only hard interactions between the Janus motor and solvent species. The model captures all of the essential features of the motor mechanism, is simple to treat theoretically and has the advantage that its dynamics may be simulated efficiently.

The paper is structured as follows: the model for an active Janus motor propelled through a diffusiophoretic mechanism is described in Section 2. The corresponding continuum theory, which includes a description of how the system is maintained out of equilibrium, is presented in Section 3. The simulation method and system parameters are given in Section 4. Simulation results for the dynamical properties of a single Janus motor, along with a discussion of the quantities needed to make a comparison with the continuum theory, can be found in Section 5. Section 6 gives a brief description of the behavior of many Janus motors to show that the model is able to describe the important many-body aspects of the collective dynamics. A discussion of the results in the paper are given in Section 7.

2 Microscopic model for Janus motors

In this paper we consider a particle-based microscopic model that combines molecular dynamics for the motor interacting with the solvent, including reactive chemical species, with a coarse-grain description of the interactions among all solvent species. In this model, solvent particles interact periodically only through an effective collision operator described in detail in Section 4 and otherwise stream freely between collisions with the Janus motor.

Consider a Janus motor of radius $R$ with catalytic and non-catalytic hemispheres, denoted as C and N, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1(a), a chemical reaction, $A \rightarrow B$, takes place on the C hemispherical surface that converts fuel particles $A$ to product particles $B$ and, in the process, produces a concentration gradient on the hemispherical surface that converts fuel $A$ particles (green) to product $B$ particles (light blue). The orientation of the Janus motor $\hat{u}$ and the polar angle $\theta$ are indicated. (b) An instantaneous configuration drawn from the simulation of the dynamics of the system shows the distribution of $B$ particles in the vicinity of the Janus motor.

The rules that govern the bounce-back collisions with the Janus motor are as follows: let $r$ and $v$ be the position and velocity of the solvent particle of species $a$ with mass $m$, and $v_1$ and $v_0$ be the linear and angular velocity of the Janus motor with mass $M$ and moment of inertia $I$. The relative position and velocity are defined by $r^* = r - r_J$ and $v^* = v - v_J$.

The bounce-back collision dynamics differs for the A and B particles and, referring to Fig. 2, can be described as follows. During free streaming the instantaneous relative positions of solvent particles of each species $a$ are monitored at each time step $\delta t$ and a bounce-back collision will occur if $|vr^*| < 0$, and $|vr^*| < R_a$ so that the particle encountered the collision surface with radius $R_a$. We assume that the solvent and Janus motor exchange momentum at position $r_1 = R \hat{r}_1$ on the surface of the Janus motor, and that $|v| > |v_1|$ and $|\lambda - (R - R_a)|$ is small, where $\lambda$ is the mean free path of the solvent particle, so that the relative velocities are approximately the same at the positions $r^*$.

![Application of the bounce-back collision rule for a solvent particle of type $a$. When a solvent particle moves with velocity $v^*$ toward the Janus motor and finds itself at position $r^*$ (black dot) inside the reflecting radius $R_a$ (dashed circle), a bounce-back collision takes place at the contact position $r_1$ (black triangle) on the surface of the Janus motor with radius $R$ (solid circle). The travel time from $r_1$ to $r^*$ is $\Delta t_+$, and the postcollision position of the solvent particle is $r'$ (black square).](image-url)
and $r_1$. To compute $r_1$, one needs to find the time $\Delta t$ that it takes the solvent particle to travel from $r_1$ to $r^*$. Note that $r^* = r_1 + v^* \Delta t$, which yields $|r^* - v^* \Delta t| = |r_1|$. This travel time is

$$\Delta t = \frac{r^* - r_1}{v^*} = \frac{1}{v^*} \sqrt{(r^* - r_1)^2 - v^2 (r^2 - R^2)},$$

where the solution $\Delta t_*$ is taken, since $\Delta t_*$ is the time it takes the solvent particle to travel to the farther surface of the Janus motor. Therefore, we have

$$r_1 = r^* - v^* \Delta t_*.$$  

At position $r_1$, the velocity of the solvent particle, which is treated as a spin-less point particle, relative to the moving and rotating Janus motor surface is

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^* - \omega_0 \times r_1 = \tilde{v}_n + \tilde{v}_t,$$

where $\tilde{v}_n = \hat{r}_i \times r_1$ and $\tilde{v}_t$ are the normal and tangential components of $\mathbf{v}$, respectively. The momentum exchange during each collision is given by

$$\Delta p = \Delta p_n + \Delta p_t = -\mu \tilde{v}_n - \frac{\mu I}{I + \mu R^2} \Delta \tilde{v}_t,$$

where $\mu = mM/(m + M)$ is the reduced mass. After a collision, the relative velocity is completely reversed, $\mathbf{v}' = -\mathbf{v}$, and the velocity changes in the normal and tangential directions are $\Delta \tilde{v}_n = \tilde{v}_n' - \tilde{v}_n = -2\tilde{v}_n$ and $\Delta \tilde{v}_t = \tilde{v}_t' - \tilde{v}_t = -2\tilde{v}_t$, respectively. Then, the post-collision linear and angular velocities are given by

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} - \Delta \mathbf{p}/m,$$

$$\omega_0' = \omega_0 + (\mathbf{r}_1 \times \Delta \mathbf{p})/I.$$  

These collision rules conserve the energy as well as the total linear and angular momentum of the system. After the collision at the surface of the Janus motor, the post-collision position of the solvent particle is taken to be $r'$,

$$r' = r - 2 \mathbf{v}^* \Delta t_*.$$  

Fig. 3(a) shows the conventional bounce-back rule, where $r_1 = R_0 \hat{r}_i$, and the particle velocity is reversed upon collision with the surface at $R_0$. In the presence of soft repulsive interactions particles are repelled from the surface and to mimic this effect in the modified bounce-back model the position of a solvent particle is shifted according to eqn (7), and so is the outgoing particle flux (Fig. 3(b)). While both simple and modified bounce-back rules give rise to directed motion, in the modified bounce-back collision rule the solvent particles are forced to leave the Janus motor after collision thereby incorporating an effective repulsion in the dynamics. In general, depending on the nature of intermolecular interactions between the surface of the Janus motor and solvent species, one may choose various bounce-back rules that give rise to directed motion. In these bounce-back collision models, since the A and B species have different collision cross sections, $\pi R^2$ with the Janus motor, and a concentration gradient of these species is present, a body force on the motor is produced which leads to directed motion by the diffusiophoretic mechanism.

## 3 Continuum model for Janus motor velocity

Theoretical predictions of the diffusiophoretic motion of Janus motors based on continuum theory in a low Péclet number regime have been developed previously. The continuum treatment assumes that the fluid and reactive species concentration fields are described by the Stokes and reaction-diffusion equations, respectively. The fluid velocity field satisfies the boundary conditions on the surface of the motor, and the concentration fields satisfy reactive “radiation” boundary conditions on the catalytic part of the Janus motor. Since the reactive chemical species have different interactions with the Janus motor, the self-generated inhomogeneous concentration field gives rise to a net body force on the motor, which, in turn, produces a fluid flow in the boundary layer around the Janus motor within which forces act. The resulting fluid velocity field at the outer edge of the boundary layer is the slip velocity, and this slip velocity provides a boundary condition for the solution of the Stokes equation and thereby determines the velocity field outside the boundary layer that accompanies the Janus motor. In this continuum theory, the propulsion velocity of the Janus motor along its symmetry axis, $\mathbf{u}$, can be calculated from the surface average of the slip velocity, $V_\alpha = -\langle \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \rangle S$, where $\langle \mathbf{\cdot} \rangle S = (4\pi R^2)^{-1} \int_S \mathbf{\cdot} dS$ denotes the surface average at a radial distance $r = R$ corresponding to the outer edge of the boundary layer.

In general, the solvent particle of type $\alpha$ can interact with the catalytic and noncatalytic hemispheres through different potentials $W_\alpha C$ and $W_\alpha N$, respectively. A concentration gradient of product particles created by the reactions on the catalytic surface together with different interactions of the fuel and product species with the surface of the Janus motor give rise to a slip velocity at the outer edge of the boundary layer at $R$. The value of the axisymmetric slip velocity can be computed using the diffusiophoretic mechanism, and is given by

$$v^{(s)}(\hat{R}, \theta) = \frac{k_B T}{\eta} \nabla_\theta c_B(\hat{R}, \theta) [A_N + (A_C - A_N) \Theta(\theta)],$$

where $\theta$ is the polar angle in a spherical polar coordinate system (see Fig. 1(a)), $\nabla_\theta$ is the gradient in the tangential direction, $c_B$ is the concentration of B particles, $k_B T$ is the
thermal energy at temperature $T$, $\eta$ is the viscosity of solvent, and $\Theta(\theta)$ is the characteristic function that is unity on the catalytic hemisphere ($0 < \theta < \pi/2$) and zero on the non-catalytic hemisphere ($\pi/2 < \theta < \pi$). The effects of interactions of the A and B particles with the Janus motor appear through the factors $A_C$ and $A_N$, where

$$A_H = \int_0^\infty dr r (e^{-\beta W_{A_H}} - e^{-\beta W_{B_H}}),$$

with $H = C, N$. Here we assume that the species $x$ interacts with the catalytic and noncatalytic hemispheres with the same potential, that is $A_C = A_N = A$, and eqn [8] becomes

$$v(x) = -\frac{k_B T}{\eta} \nabla c_{\text{b}} + A,$$  

and with hard potentials described in eqn (1) we have

$$A = \frac{1}{2} (R_A^2 - R_B^2).$$

The concentration field that appears in eqn (10) may be determined from the solution of a reaction-diffusion equation. The form that this equation takes depends on how the system is maintained in a nonequilibrium state. Fuel A may be supplied and product B removed at distant boundaries or nonequilibrium reactions may occur in the bulk that catabolize product molecules and generate fuel, similar to the process that occurs in living cells. To model the latter case, we may assume a reaction of the form

$$B \rightarrow A \text{ in the bulk phase that serves to maintain the system in a nonequilibrium steady state.}$$

In the low Péclet number regime, the steady-state reaction diffusion equation with the bulk reaction can be written as

$$DN^2 c_A(r, \theta) + k_b c_B(r, \theta) = 0.$$  

Since the total bulk concentration of the solvent particles satisfies $c_0 = c_A + c_B$, which we assume to hold locally, this equation may also be written as

$$(\nabla^2 - \kappa^2)c_{\text{b}}(r, \theta) = 0,$$

where we have defined $\kappa^2 = k_b/D$. The reaction-diffusion equation should be solved subject to the boundary conditions, $lim_{r \to \infty} c_A(r, \theta) = c_0$, while the “radiation” boundary condition$^{16}$ on the Janus motor that accounts for the catalytic conversion of A to B on its surface is

$$k_t \bar{R} c_{\text{b}}(\bar{R}, \theta) = k_0 c_A(\bar{R}, \theta) \Theta(\theta),$$

where $k_0$ is the intrinsic reaction rate, $k_0 = 4\pi RD$ is the Smoluchowski rate constant for a diffusion controlled reaction.

The solution of the reaction-diffusion eqn (12) can be expressed as a series of Legendre polynomials,

$$c_{\text{b}}(r, \theta) = c_0 \sum_\ell a_\ell f_\ell(r) P_\ell(\cos \theta),$$

where $u = \cos \theta$. Substitution of eqn (14) into eqn (12) yields a Bessel equation for the radial function $f_\ell(r)$ whose solution, subject to the boundary conditions given above, can written in terms of modified Bessel functions of second kind, $K_{\ell+1/2}(kr)$, as

$$f_\ell(r) = \frac{K_{\ell+1/2}(kr)}{\sqrt{kr} K_{\ell+1/2}(kr)}.$$  

The $a_\ell$ coefficients can be determined from the solution to a set of linear equations as, $a_\ell = \sum_m (M^{-1})_{lm} E_{lm}$ where

$$M_{lm} = \frac{2Q_l}{2l + 1} \delta_{lm} + \frac{k_0}{k_D} \int du P_m(u) P_\ell(u),$$

$$E_{lm} = \frac{k_0}{k_D} \int du P_m(u),$$

with $Q_l = \kappa R K_{\ell+1/2}(kr) / K_{\ell+1/2}(kr) - \ell$. The concentration profile in the absence of a bulk reaction ($k_0 = 0$) is recovered by taking the $\kappa \to 0$ limit of the equations above. Note that $\lim_{\kappa \to 0} f_\ell(r) = (R/r)^{\ell+1}$, corresponding to the solution of the reaction-diffusion system where fuel is supplied and product removed only at the distant boundaries of the system. Also note that $K_{\ell+1/2}(kr) / \sqrt{kr} \to e^{-kr}/kr$ for large $kr$, which implies that the bulk reaction “screens” the power law decay of the concentration field with the screening length $\kappa^{-1} = \sqrt{D/k_2}$ which determines the average distance that a product particle travels from the catalytic surface by diffusion before being converted back to a fuel particle. We shall use a bulk reaction to maintain the system out of equilibrium in the simulations presented below.

By taking the surface average of the slip velocity, these results may now be used to determine the Janus motor velocity, leading to

$$V_0 = -\left(\hat{u} \cdot \nabla v\right)_{S} = \frac{k_B T c_0}{\eta} \frac{2}{3} R A_1, A = \frac{k_B T c_0}{\eta} \frac{2}{3} (R_A^2 - R_B^2) A_1.$$  

Note that when the solvent particles individually interact with the same potential with the different hemispheres of the Janus motor as in this model, the motor velocity depends only on the $\ell = 1$ component of the concentration field due to the fact that the contributions from the surface average of other modes are zero. Also note that in the cases where $A_C \neq A_N$, one can see from the eqn (8) the propulsion velocity will depend on the value of $A_C - A_N$.

4 Simulation of Janus motor dynamics

Consider a single Janus motor with radius $R$, mass $M$ and moment of inertia $I = \frac{2}{5} MR^2$ confined in a cubic box with linear size $L = 50a_0$ and periodic boundaries. The simulation volume also contains A and B solvent particles with mass $m$ and total density $n_0$ at temperature $T$. In what follows, we use dimensionless units where mass is in units of $m$, lengths in...
Table 1. Properties of Janus motors with various $A$ factors: $k_0$, $k_1$ and $k_2 = 4 \pi D R$ are the intrinsic, long-time and diffusion-controlled reaction rate coefficients, respectively; $R$ is the radius of the outer edge of the boundary layer. $V_c$ and $V_d^*$ are the results of Janus motor velocity projected along motor axis $\mathbf{u}$ from theory and simulation, respectively. The numbers in parentheses are uncertain digits, e.g., $123(4) = 1.23 \pm 0.04$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$k_0$</th>
<th>$k_1$</th>
<th>$k_2$</th>
<th>$V_c$</th>
<th>$V_d^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14(4)</td>
<td>15(4)</td>
<td>14(1)</td>
<td>15(4)</td>
<td>13(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.5(2)</td>
<td>17.1(1)</td>
<td>17.1(2)</td>
<td>17.1(2)</td>
<td>16.9(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$D_c$ and $D_e$ are the intrinsic, long-time and diffusion-controlled reaction coefficients, respectively; $R$ is the radius of the outer edge of the boundary layer. $V_c$ and $V_d^*$ are the results of Janus motor velocity projected along motor axis $\mathbf{u}$ from theory and simulation, respectively. The numbers in parentheses are uncertain digits, e.g., $123(4) = 1.23 \pm 0.04$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$D_c$</th>
<th>$D_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>0.00883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

units of $a_0$ and energies in units of $k_B T$. Time is then expressed in units of $t_0 = (m a_0^2 / k_B T)^{1/2}$. In these units $R = 2.5$, $n_0 = 10$, $M = 4 \pi R^2 n_0 m \approx 655$ and $I \approx 1636$.

Solvent particles interact with the Janus motor through modified bounce-back collisions as discussed earlier. In order to investigate the dependence of the propulsion velocity on the factor $A$, various combinations of collision radii, listed in Table 1, were considered. Solvent particles interact among themselves through multiparticle collision dynamics (MPCD), which combines effective multiparticle collisions at discrete time intervals $\tau = 0.1$ with streaming between two consecutive collisions, so that the mean free path is $\lambda = \tau (k_B T / m)^{1/2} = 0.1$. Multiparticle collisions are carried out by first sorting the particles into cubic cells $\xi$ with linear size $a_0$. The postcollision velocity of particle $i$ in cell $\xi$ is given by $v_i = V_c + \hat{R} (v_i - V_c)$, where $\hat{R}$ is a rotation matrix around a random unit axis by an angle $120^{\circ}$ and $V_c$ is the center-of-mass velocity of all the solvent particles in the cell $\xi$. In the streaming step, a solvent particle undergoes a bounce-back collision if it is moving toward and encounters the Janus motor as described in Section 3, otherwise its position at next time step is $r_i(t + \delta t) = r_i(t) + v_i \delta t$, where $\delta t = 0.01$ is the time step size. With the parameters for the solvent given above, the solvent viscosity is $\eta = 7.93$ and the common self diffusion constant for the A and B solvent species is $D = 0.061$.

To maintain the system out of equilibrium, bulk reactions converting product B particles back to fuel A particles are carried out using reactive MPCD. At each MPC collision step, the reaction, $B \xrightarrow{k_2} A$, takes place independently in each cell $\xi$ with probability $p^i \left(N_B^\xi \right) = 1 - e^{-a_2^i \xi}$, where $N_B^\xi$ is the total number of B particles in cell $\xi$ and $a_2 = k_2 N_B^\xi$ with $k_2 = 0.01$ the bulk reaction rate. For our parameters the screening length is found to be $\kappa^{-1} \approx 2.5$, about the same as the radius of the Janus motor.

## 5 Single Janus motor

A Janus motor can execute forward ($A > 0$), backward ($A < 0$) directed motion or pure diffusive motion ($A = 0$); also see Movies S1 and S2 for active motors (ESI†). First we investigate the purely diffusive dynamics of a Janus motor with collision radii $R_A = R_B = R$ so that $A = 0$. The translational diffusion coefficient of the Janus motor, $D_t$, can be obtained from the long time behavior of the mean squared displacement, MSD($t$) = 6$D_t$$t$, and we find $D_t = 0.0028$, which is close to the Stokes–Einstein value, $D_t = k_B T / 6 \pi \eta R \approx 0.0027$. The rotational diffusion coefficient, $D_r$, can be determined from the decay of the orientation correlation function, $\langle \hat{u}(t) \cdot \hat{u}(0) \rangle = \exp(-2 D_r t)$. The simulation result is $D_t \approx 0.00085$. The rotational diffusion coefficient is related to the rotational friction coefficient, $\zeta_r$, by $D_r = k_B T / \zeta_r$. The rotational friction coefficient can be expressed approximately in terms of microscopic and hydrodynamic contributions, $\zeta_r = \zeta_r^m + \zeta_r^h$, where $\zeta_r^m$ and $\zeta_r^h$ are the intrinsic and hydrodynamic contributions, respectively. In the Eqs. (16) and (17), the rotational friction coefficient is $\zeta_r = 8 \pi \eta R^3 / 3$. The rotational friction coefficient for a spherical object. Using this expression for the rotational friction coefficient, we find $D_t \approx 0.0007$.

An active Janus motor will undergo directed motion along its symmetry axis as a result of chemically-powered propulsion, as well as translational and rotational Brownian motion. The simulation value of propulsion velocity of an active Janus motor may be determined from a time and ensemble average of its instantaneous velocity projected onto its instantaneous orientation, $V_c = \langle \hat{u}(t) \cdot \hat{u}(0) \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the average over time and realizations. Table 1 lists the average steady state propulsion velocity, $V^0$, for various values of $A$. As expected, the Janus motor switches from forward to backward motion when $A$ becomes negative, and its speed increases as $|A|$ increases. Note that for these propulsion velocities the Pe`clet number ($Pe = V_t R / D$) is $Pe < 0.4$.

In order to compare these simulation results with the predictions of continuum theory, the intrinsic reaction rate coefficient $k_0$, and the location of the outer edge of the boundary layer $R$ are needed to obtain the coefficient $a_1$ in eqn (17). The rate coefficient $k_0$, that governs the reaction $A \rightarrow B$ on the catalytic hemisphere of the Janus motor, can be computed in simulations by monitoring the time evolution of the total number of fuel A particles in the system arising from the irreversible chemical reactions on the Janus motor.\(^{27,45}\) In the low Pe`clet number limit the effects from the motion of the Janus motor can be neglected. The rate equation for A particle concentration is $dc_A(t)/dt = -k_1(t)c_B(t)$, where $c_1 = 1 / R^2$ is the Janus motor number density. Here $k_1(t)$ is the time-dependent rate coefficient for the conversion of A to B, which starts at $k_1(0^+) = k_0$ and decays to the asymptotic value $k_1 = k_1 k_0 / (k_0 + k_3)$.\(^{45}\) The outer edge of the boundary layer $R$ can be defined as the distance within which the microscopic details of the dynamics of the interactions between solvent particles and the Janus motor become important so that a continuum description is not applicable. From the asymptotic value of $k_1 = k_1 k_0 / (k_0 + k_3)$ we may determine $k_0$ and, use its value to determine $R$. 
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The time-dependent rate coefficient, $k_f(t)$, was computed by measuring $-(dc_A(t)/dt)/(c_Jc_A(t))$ in simulations that started with all fuel $A$ particles in the bulk of the solution and in the absence of the $B \rightarrow A$ bulk reaction ($k_2 = 0$). Table 1 shows the values of $k_0$ and $k_D$ extracted from the simulation data for various $A$ values, and the associated radius of outer edge of the boundary layer determined from $R = k_D/4\pi D$. The intrinsic rate coefficient may be computed from a simple collision model. Since a reaction happens only when an $A$ particle is in contact with the collision surface at $R_A$, the rate $k_0$ is then given by the rate of collisions of the $A$ particle with the catalytic part of the Janus sphere, leading to $k_0 = R_A^2 \sqrt{2\pi k_B T/m}$. It takes the value $k_0 \approx 15.66$ for $R_A = 2.5$, which is slightly larger than the simulation values for various $A$. For the backward-moving Janus motors, as expected, $k_0$ decreases as $|A|$ increases because of the smaller collision radius $R_A$. Using eqn (17) with the parameters $k_0$, $k_D$ and $R$, the computed theoretical propulsion velocities ($V_0^T$) for different values of $A$ are listed in Table 1. We find the theoretical predictions are in good agreement with the simulation results.

The steady-state concentration field of the product particle can be calculated analytically using eqn (14), along with the coefficients $a_i$ derived in Section 3 and using the reaction rates listed in Table 1. Fig. 4 compares the analytical and simulation results outside the boundary layer for the forward-moving, backward-moving and the diffusive Janus motors. Quantitative comparisons of the product concentration field along various directions are shown in Fig. 5. From Fig. 4, one sees good agreement between the results at large distances from the Janus motor, with slightly higher product concentrations near the catalytic surface (also see Fig. 5). Such small deviations may be caused by perturbations induced by motor motion due to the fact that our simulations are in the small but finite Pecllet number regime.

At short times Janus motors move ballistically with velocity $V_0\hat{u}$ as a result of their propulsion, but at long times their motion becomes diffusive with an enhanced diffusion constant given by $D_T = D_J + \frac{1}{3}V_0^2\tau_r$, where $\tau_r = (2D_J)^{-1}$ is the characteristic time for the rotational diffusion. The rotational diffusion constants $D_r$ and reorientation times $\tau_r$ were measured for various values of $A$ and are listed in Table 1. We find that the rotational dynamics is not affected by the directed motion of the Janus motor for both forward and backward propagation. The enhanced diffusion constant was also measured by a fit to the long-time values of the mean squared displacement. Good agreements between the simulation ($D_r^S$) and theoretical ($D_r^T$) estimates can be seen in Table 1.

**Fig. 4** Product concentration field, $c_B(r,\theta)$, for moving (|$\lambda$| = 0.037) and diffusive ($\lambda = 0$) Janus motors. Panels (a), (c) and (e) are obtained from the analytical expression in eqn (14), whereas (b), (d) and (f) are the simulation results. The catalytic and the noncatalytic hemispheres are labeled in panel (a).

**Fig. 5** Comparison of product concentration profiles for the forward (a–c) and the backward (d–f) Janus motors with |$\lambda$| = 0.037 obtained from simulation (black solid lines) and theory (red dashed lines) along various directions with $\theta = 0$, $\pi/2$, and $\pi$. Note that the ordinate scales on panels corresponding to different directions are not the same.
6 Collective behavior of Janus motors

The hard-sphere Janus motor model was also used to simulate the dynamics of a collection of Janus motors. In addition to the bounce-back collisions between solvent particles and the Janus motor, the interaction between any two Janus motors is described by a repulsive Lennard-Jones potential, \( V_{\text{R}}(r) = 4\varepsilon \left[ (\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^{6} + 1/4 \right] \), when their distance \( r < 2^{1/6} \sigma \). Here \( \varepsilon = 1 \) is the interaction strength and \( \sigma = 6 \) is the effective radius, which is chosen to be larger than twice the hard-sphere radius \( R \) so that each solvent particle can only interact with one Janus motor at a time. It is important to note that the attractive depletion force is negligible and does not dominate the collective dynamics. Simulations were carried out in a cubic box with linear size \( L = 50 \) containing \( N_J = 125 \) Janus motors, corresponding to a volume fraction of \( \phi = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 N_J / L^3 \approx 0.065 \). A bulk reaction with \( k_s = 0.01 \) was employed to maintain the system in a nonequilibrium steady state. Fig. 6 shows an instantaneous configuration of Janus motors taken from a realization of forward-moving Janus motors. The Janus motors are found to form transient clusters, which can be seen in the upper-left corner of the simulation box in Fig. 6. In contrast, no apparent clustering is observed for backward-moving Janus motors.

To quantitatively investigate the collective behavior of the forward and backward-moving Janus motors, first we consider the radial distribution function, \( g(r) \), of the Janus motors,

\[
g(r) = \frac{L^3}{4\pi r^2 N_J} \sum_{j < i=1}^{N_J} \delta(r_i - r_j).
\]  

(18)

where \( r_i = |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_j| \) is the distance between motors \( i \) and \( j \). Fig. 7(a) shows \( g(r) \) for various values of \( \lambda \). For negative \( \lambda = -0.245 \) (red dashed line) and \( -0.48 \) (red solid line), there is a peak at the effective radial distance for the motor-motor repulsive interaction potential \( r \approx \sigma \), and the peaks sharpen when \( \lambda = 0.245 \) (black dashed line) and \( 0.48 \) (black solid line) indicating the aggregation of forward moving Janus motors. Next, we study the steady-state properties of the collective motion by considering the correlation function,

\[
C_{ij}(r) = \left( \frac{1}{n(r)} \sum_{j < i=1}^{N_J} \left( \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j \right) \delta(r_i - r_j) \right),
\]  

(19)

where \( \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j \) is the unit vector of a physical quantity of particle \( i \) and \( n(r) = \sum_{j < i=1}^{N_J} \delta(r_i - r_j) \) is the number of motor pairs with separation \( r \). Fig. 7(b) shows the orientational correlation functions, \( C_{ij}(r) \), for various values of \( \lambda \). While there is no significant correlation among the Janus motors with \( \lambda < 0 \), when \( \lambda > 0 \) a positive peak found at \( r = \sigma \), suggesting orientational alignment for forward-moving Janus motors. While several factors, such as induced flow fields and crowding effects, may affect the orientation alignment indicated in this figure, the interactions mediated by product concentration fields play very important roles in determining the dynamics of a collection of diffusiophoretic motors. Such effects are the strongest when two motors are in an aligned configuration as shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b) for the forward-moving and the backward-moving Janus motors, respectively. A single forward Janus motor propels itself toward the region with higher product concentration due to self-diffusiophoretic mechanism. In Fig. 8(a), when two motors with orientational alignment are close to each other, the right motor feels the product concentration field generated by the left motor. Consequently, the motor on the right side tends to move toward the catalytic face of the left motor which stabilizes this oriented configuration. In contrast, a backward-moving motor prefers to move away from the regions with high product concentration and, therefore, the configuration shown in

---

**Fig. 6** An instantaneous configuration taken from the simulation of a collection of forward-moving Janus motors with \( \lambda = 0.245 \). A transient cluster can be seen in the upper-left corner of the simulation box. Also see Movies S3 and S4 for forward-moving and backward-moving Janus motors. The solvent particles are not displayed (ESI†).

**Fig. 7** Spatial correlation functions: (a) radial distribution function, \( g(r) \), (b) orientation correlation function, \( C_{ij}(r) \), (c) velocity correlation function, \( C_v(r) \), and (d) angular velocity correlation function, \( C_\theta(r) \), for \( \lambda = 0.48 \) (\( R_A = 2.5 \) and \( R_B = 2.3 \), black solid line), \( \lambda = 0.245 \) (\( R_A = 2.5 \) and \( R_B = 2.4 \), black dashed line), \( \lambda = -0.245 \) (\( R_A = 2.4 \) and \( R_B = 2.5 \), red solid line), and \( \lambda = -0.48 \) (\( R_A = 2.3 \) and \( R_B = 2.5 \), red dashed line).
Fig. 8 Collective behavior of (a) two forward-moving and (b) two backward-moving Janus motors. The solid white arrows show the direction of motion of Janus motors driven by self-phoresis, whereas the hollow arrows indicate the direction of the force induced by the concentration gradient field (light blue clouds) generated by neighboring motors.

Fig. 8(b) is unstable giving rise to lower orientational order. In addition, from the velocity correlation functions, $C_v(r)$, one can see that the forward-moving motors not only align but also propagate in the same direction as indicated by the positive peak at $r = \sigma$. For the backward-moving motors, a broad negative peak was found at $r = 7$ showing that two motors move away from each other. Finally, we compute the angular velocity correlation function, $C_o(r)$. The Janus motors interact with each other through central potentials and thus no angular momentum exchange happens during elastic collisions. Therefore, as expected no significant angular velocity correlation among the Janus motors was found.

7 Discussion

Systems with active elements occur throughout nature and are currently being investigated in many laboratories. The focus of these investigations varies, ranging from studies of cargo transport involving single motors to the more complex dynamics of many interacting motors. As the systems under investigation become more complicated, for example, involving many interacting motors to study nonequilibrium phase transitions or phase segregation, or crowded systems with mobile obstacles of arbitrary shape, molecular simulation provides a promising way to discover the essential features that underlie the physical phenomena and to predict what new phenomena might be seen.

Continuum models for phoretic propulsion are certainly applicable to large motors and, in fact, often provide good results for small submicron scale motors. They will breakdown on the smallest scales and for the smallest motors. The results in this paper provide some insight into how the parameters that enter into continuum models may be determined in order to make comparisons with simulations of small motors in fluctuating molecular environments.

For many-motor systems microscopic dynamics that satisfies the basic conservation laws of mass, momentum and energy will correctly account for all aspects of coupling that arise from hydrodynamic flow fields induced by motor motion, concentration gradients that have their origin in the catalytic activity of all motors, as well as direct motor-motor interactions. Effects, such as those arising from variations of an individual motor’s speed due to perturbations of chemical gradients by other motors in the system and the chemotactic-like interactions due to these gradients, are incorporated in the simulations. The simplicity of the Janus model described in this paper will facilitate large-scale simulations designed to probe collective behavior, beyond the illustrative examples presented in the text. More generally, microscopic models will provide a way to analyze the delicate interplay of effects that contribute to the new phenomena that are being explored in chemically-active motor systems.
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