

# How Well Does High School Prepare Students for University Chemistry?

CHM 299Y Research Opportunity Program http://www.chem.utoronto.ca/~

Robin Baj, Michael Lebenbaum, Sujan Saundarakumaran, Derrick Tam, Jakub Vodsedalek, and David C. Stone\* Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St.George Street, Toronto ON, M5S 3H6 dstone@chem.utoronto.ca

## Introduction

Each year, some 1,500-1,800 students entering 1st year at the University of Toronto take one of our undergraduate chemistry programs: either CHM 138H/139H or CHM 151Y. The aim of this pilot study was to see how well their final year of high school chemistry had prepared them for university chemistry, and identify practices within both high school and university programs that would help students better manage the transition. The pilot study consisted of a questionnaire, made available to all 1st-year chemistry students via the BlackBoard system, and follow-up small group interviews. A detailed five year study in the US on this transition has been reported,<sup>1,2</sup> while a similar survey at the University of Guelph was recently described.<sup>3</sup>





Number of 1 <sup>st</sup> year students (all):	1830
Number of completed surveys:	320 (17.5%)
Number of unique schools represented:	123
Public schools	75
Catholic schools	23
Private/international schools	25
Number of schools by location:	
Ontario	81
Canada	22
International	20
Number of Ontario schools:	
Public schools	51
Catholic schools	20
Private/international schools	10
Reported class size for each school:	
> 30	19
21 - 30	63
11 - 20	31
5 - 10	10
< 5	0

study unit (ISU) or other research project in high school



## **Recommendations for Instructors**

#### Labs and Lab Manuals

- Written instructions can be hard to read or 1. understand due to lack of prior experience
- simplify language (many ESL students)
- use simple diagrams and pictures5 use multimedia annroach<sup>6</sup>
- 2. Lack of meaningful, timely feedback - on-line pre-lab quiz with immediate feedback7
- improve TA training for consistency - use coding scheme for common errors
- 3. Unclear connection to lectures lectures should reference specific lab experiments in theoretical context

"I just found that from the lab we were quite often asked to do things we had never done before, and apparatus that we had never seen before and just read it. I need a picture and I need to see what it looks like because I've never ever seen it."

- Observation (factual/memorization) - Application (calculations, operational skills) - Reflection (problem solving/integrative) 2. Use more problem-solving questions

Classify questions by type. e.g.

Assignments, Tests, & Exams

- include with notes, homework, readings - present lecture concepts as examples of
- problem solving - teach students problem solving methods in
- labs/tutorials 3 Clearer statement of expectations
  - material to be covered for tests, etc. - relative emphasis on different types of question - on-line self-tests (with feedback) for background material
- "Everything in university is worth a lot more."

## **General Recommendations**

- 1 Avoid 'information overload'
- spread important announcements out rather than all in the first class or week of classes 2. Increase awareness of learning issues amongst students
  - highlight availability of extra help sessions run by the department or colleges - emphasize discipline-specific learning and study skills through tutorials, FLCs, etc. - teach students how to evaluate the effectiveness of their current study habits
- 3. Increased communication with high school teachers and students
- raise awareness of university expectations, student 1<sup>st</sup>-year experience, etc. - increased involvement with high school curriculum and teacher professional development

"I find that high school chemistry does not prepare you fully for university. My high school chemistry class was a inke "

"I feel my high school teachers prepared my very well for university, even though it was a big jump. Sometimes, change and challenge are nice and necessarv for progress."

# References

- 1 R H Tai P M Sadler and J F Loehr J Res Sci Teaching 2005 42(9) 987-1012
- R. H. Tai, F. M. Sadier, and P. M. Sadier, J. Chem. Ed., 2006, 83(11), 1703-1711.
  L. Jones, "Surviving Killer Chem", Science Teachers Association of Ontario Conference 2006, Toronto.
- 4. C. A. R. Berg, Chem. Ed. Res. Practice, 2005, 6(1), 1-18. (http://www.rsc.org/Education/CERP/) 5. P. Dechsri, L. L. Jones, and H. W. Heikkinen, J. Res. Sci. Teach., 1997, 34(9), 891-904.
- A. Burewicz and N. Mrianowicz, Chem. Ed. Res. Practice, 2006, 7(1), 1-12
- 7. D. W. Brooks G. Shaw and K. J. Crippen. J. Chem. Ed. 2005, 82, 641-644

## Acknowledgements

- The authors wish to thank the following for their help with this work:
- · Tina Waring/RCAT for technical assistance with the survey
- · 1st-year chemistry instructors for their co-operation and support . The Faculty of Arts & Science ROP office for financial support
- · Cleo Boyd and John Percy (UTM), and Lori Jones (Guelph) for suggestions and contributions